Gravitational Wave Signatures of Inflationary Models from Primordial Black Hole (PBH) Dark Matter (DM)

Caner Unal, University of Minnesota Paris, COSMO-17, 2017 Aug

- PBH Basics and PBH DM
- PBH production from Perturbations obeying different statistics
- Distinct GW Backgrounds at small scales
- Evolution of PBH from GW

Talk is based mainly on

"Gravitational Wave signatures of inflationary models from Primordial Black Hole Dark Matter" J. Garcia-Bellido, M. Peloso, C. Unal [accepted for publication at JCAP, arXiv: 1707.02441]

Axion Model is based on

"Scale dependent Gravitational Waves and Non-Gaussianity from rolling axion", R. Namba, M. Peloso, M. Shiraishi, L. Sorbo, C. Unal [JCAP 1601:041]

PBH Basics and PBH DM

See also talks of Kuhnel, Sasaki, Wands, Tenkanen, Vaskonen on PBH

- Many ways to produce PBH : Primordial perturbations, topological defects, bubble collisions, etc
- $\zeta > \zeta_{c}$ collapse in re-entry in rad. dom. $\beta^{\text{form}}(M_{k}) = \int_{\zeta_{c}}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(\zeta_{k}) d\zeta_{k}$
- Only a small fraction of regions (β^{form}) collapse but ϱ_{PBH} grows w/ a(t) since PBH is NR matter
- Mass of BH ~ the horizon mass ~ $M \simeq 20 \gamma M_{\odot} \left(\frac{k}{10^6 \,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}}\right)^{-2} \simeq 50 \gamma M_{\odot} \left(\frac{10^{-9} \,\mathrm{Hz}}{f}\right)^2$ PTA-LIGO correspondence (later)

Garcia-Bellido, Peloso, Unal '16

Motivation:Bird et al '16 | Clesse & Garcia-Bellido '16 | Sasaki et al '16i) No DM yet (+ No low energy SUSY sign yet)ii) Detected binaries at LIGO are somehow heavier than BH with astrophysical originiii) Revised distortion constraints on PBH for masses larger than solar massAli-Hamoud & Kamionkowski '16

PBH DM scenario has many distinctive EM and GW Signatures (scale dependent) :
Early initialization of star formation, IMBH/SMBH, Induced GW spectrum from primordial scalar modes,
Stochastic GW spectrum from collision of PBH during cosmic history, distortion due to energy injection, etc.
Garcia-Bellido 1702.08275

Bounds and Statistics





GW Backgrounds (Induced + Primordial)

Induced GW: Large $\zeta \rightarrow$ PBH and unavoidably source tensor modes as $\zeta + \zeta \rightarrow h_i$



GW w/ Different Statistics

Garcia-Bellido, Peloso, Unal '17



GWW/Different Evolution Garcia-Bellido, Peloso, Unal '17

All **previous studies** assume trivial evolution (**neglect** gas accretion onto PBH and PBH mergers)

Both induced and primordial GW spectra carry information about formation time not current time.

So compare it with current BH mass function!

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(neglecting GW leakage)} \\ \text{Merging } (\mathcal{M}): n_{\text{PBH}} & & \rho_{\text{PBH}} & & M \rightarrow M_{\text{PBH}} \times \mathcal{M} & n \rightarrow n_{\text{PBH}} / \mathcal{M} \\ \text{Accretion } (\mathcal{A}): n_{\text{PBH}} & & \rho_{\text{PBH}} & & M \rightarrow M_{\text{PBH}} \times \mathcal{A} & n \rightarrow n_{\text{PBH}} \\ \end{array}$$



Conclusions and Questions

- GW astronomy era has started
- PBH DM is a compelling scenario with distinct predictions
- There exists an interesting correspondence between PTA scales stochastic GW backgrounds and LIGO scales BH coalescences
- We can learn much more about small scales signatures (late times of inflation) via GW

Thanks for your attention and questions