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## Theory: infinitesimal beams



$$
\frac{\mathrm{D}^{2} \delta x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} \lambda^{2}}=R_{\nu \rho \sigma}^{\mu} k^{\nu} k^{\rho} \delta x^{\sigma}
$$
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## The Ricci/Weyl dichotomy
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R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}=R_{[\mu \rho} g_{\nu \sigma]}+C_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}
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## Pure theory

- How can spacetime curvature depend on the beam?
- Is there a Weyl to Ricci transition?

Observational cosmology

- To which extent can we trust the infinitesimal beam?
- Are there new observables for finite beams?
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## Convergence

$$
\Omega=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{I}} z^{*} \mathrm{~d} z \ominus \Omega_{\mathrm{S}}+\sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} 2 \pi \varepsilon_{k}^{2}
$$

Residue theorem
Only lenses enclosed
by the beam contribute

- Light beams smooth out the matter they encounter
- No Weyl to Ricci transition, just Ricci!
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From the image quadrupole

$$
\gamma=\sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k} w_{k}}{\beta^{2}}\right)^{2}-\sum_{k \notin \mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{k}}{w_{k}}\right)^{2}
$$

## Shear



From the image quadrupole


New contribution from interior lenses!

## Higher deformation modes
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Fourier decomposition:

$$
z=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} z_{\ell} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\ell+1) \varphi}
$$
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Fourier decomposition:

$$
z=\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} z_{\ell} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\ell+1) \varphi}
$$

$$
z_{\ell>0}=\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{S}} \varepsilon_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{w_{k}^{*}}{\beta}\right)^{\ell}
$$

$$
z_{\ell<0}=-\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k \notin \mathcal{S}} \varepsilon_{k}^{2}\left(\frac{w_{k}^{*}}{\beta}\right)^{\ell}
$$
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$$
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## Conclusion

## Context

- standard weak lensing assumes infinitesimal beams
- it raises theoretical and observational issues


## Our work

used the strong-lensing language to deal with finite beams

## Results

- understand the Ricci/Weyl dichotomy
- generic violation of shear-convergence relation
- new observables?

