#### HEALTHY IMPERFECT DARK MATTER FROM EFFECTIVE THEORY OF MIMETIC COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS

<u>Shin'ichi Hirano</u>, Sakine Nishi, Tsutomu Kobayashi Rikkyo University (Japan)

JCAP 1707(2017) no.07, 009 [arXiv: 1704.06031]

COSMO17 30/08/2017

# **Mimetic theory**

Mimetic dark matter Chamseddine, Mukhanov (2013)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} - \lambda (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi + 1) \right]$$

 $\lambda$  : Lagrangian multiplier,  $\mathcal{R}$  : 4-dim. Ricci scalar

 $\delta \lambda$  :  $g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi = -1$  "mimetic constraint"

 $\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\text{Pressureless dust}}{\text{no nontrivial propagating mode in FR sp. .}}$ 

# Imperfect Dark Matter (IDM)

Chamseddine et al. (2014) Milzagholi, Vikman (2015)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} - \lambda (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi + 1) + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Box \phi)^2 \right]$$
  
$$\alpha : \text{ constant}$$

• imperfect fluid, dusty behavior on FR sp.

non-zero sound speed (very small)

⇒ IDM could improve the behavior of density perturbation on small scale (at linear level).

Missing satellites and core-cusp problem Capela, Ramazanov (2015) could be solved.

# Imperfect Dark Matter (IDM)

Chamseddine et al. (2014) Milzagholi, Vikman (2015)

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} - \lambda (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi + 1) + \frac{\alpha}{2} (\Box \phi)^2 \right]$$
  
$$\alpha : \text{constant}$$

imperfect fluid, dusty behavior on FLRW spacetime

non-zero sound speed (very small)

⇒ IDM could improve the behavior of density perturbation on small scale (at linear level).

Missing satellites and core-cusp problem Capela, Ramazanov (2015) could be solved.

#### **Phenomenologically interesting !**



same

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

=

theoretical structure in mimetic theory

( mimetic constraint

the one in the **projectable** Horava-Lifshitz gravity projectable condition )

Potential issues: gradient instabilities , caustic singularity solar system constraint ...

**Our work:** • We find the reason for these instabilities.

 We consider the large class of scalar-tensor theory with mimetic constraint to construct a healthy IDM.



same

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

theoretical structure in mimetic theory

(mimetic constraint =

the one in the projectable Horava-Lifshitz gravity

projectable condition )

Potential issues: **gradient instabilities** , caustic singularity solar system constraint ...

**Our work:** • We find **the reason** for these instabilities.

 We consider the large class of scalar-tensor theory with mimetic constraint to construct a healthy IDM.

Chamseddine et al. (2014) Milzagholi & Vikman (2015)

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R} - \lambda(g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi + 1) - V(\phi) + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\Box\phi)^2$$

 $\delta \lambda$ :  $g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi = -1$  "mimetic constraint"

 $\Rightarrow$  it is convenient to use unitary gauge:  $\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = t$ .

ADM decomposition

$$ds^{2} = -N^{2}dt^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(dx^{i} + N^{i}dt)(dx^{j} + N^{j}dt)$$
$$n_{\mu} = -N\delta_{\mu}^{0}, K_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij}}{N} = \frac{1}{2N}(\dot{\gamma}_{ij} - D_{i}N_{j} - D_{j}N_{i})$$
$$\mathcal{R} = R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^{2} + \text{(total derivative)}$$
$$\Box\phi = -\frac{K}{N} + \frac{\Xi}{N}, \ \Xi = \frac{\dot{N}}{N^{2}} - \frac{N^{i}\partial_{i}N}{N^{2}}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{N}{2} (R + K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2) + \lambda \left(\frac{1}{N} - N\right) - NV(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2N} (K - \Xi)^2$$
$$\Xi = \frac{\dot{N}}{N^2} - \frac{N^i \partial_i N}{N^2}$$

$$\delta N: \frac{1}{2}(R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2) - 2\lambda - V - \frac{3\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}[\dot{E} - D_i(N^iE)] = 0$$

is the equation to determine the value of  $\lambda$  .

⇒ cannot determine the dynamics of spacetime.

$$\delta N_i : D_j \pi^{ij} = 0, \ \pi^{ij} := E^{ij} - (1 - \alpha) \gamma^{ij} E$$
$$\delta \gamma_{ij} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{d}{dt} (\sqrt{\gamma} \pi^{kl}) \gamma_{ik} \gamma_{jl} + \cdots$$

can only determine the dynamics of spacetime.

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{N}{2} \left( R + K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2 \right) + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{N} - N \right) - NV(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2N} \left( K - \Xi \right)^2$$

#### Mimetic theory is equivalent to the theory with N=1 !

$$\delta N: \frac{1}{2}(R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2) - 2\lambda - V - \frac{3\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}[\dot{E} - D_i(N^iE)] = 0$$

is the equation to determine the value of  $\lambda$  .

→ cannot decide the dynamics of spacetime.

$$\delta N_{i} : D_{j}\pi^{ij} = 0, \ \pi^{ij} := E^{ij} - (1 - \alpha)\gamma^{ij}E$$
  
$$\delta \gamma_{ij} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{d}{dt} (\sqrt{\gamma}\pi^{kl})\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} + \cdots$$

can determine the dynamics of spacetime.

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2} (R + E_{ij} E^{ij} - E^2) - V(t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} E^2$$

#### The theory with N=1 gives the same dynamics!

$$\delta N : \frac{1}{2}(R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2) - 2\lambda - V - \frac{3\alpha}{2}E^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}[\dot{E} - D_i(N^iE)] = 0$$

is the equation to determine the value of  $\lambda$  .

→ cannot decide the dynamics of spacetime.

$$\delta N_{i}: D_{j}\pi^{ij} = 0, \ \pi^{ij} := E^{ij} - (1-\alpha)\gamma^{ij}E$$
  
$$\delta \gamma_{ij}: \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\frac{d}{dt}(\sqrt{\gamma}\pi^{kl})\gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} + \cdots$$

can determine the dynamics of spacetime.

# **Cosmological solution**

**Background metric:**  $N = 1, N_i = 0, \gamma_{ij} = a^2(t)\delta_{ij}$ 

**Evolution equation:** 
$$3H^2 + 2\dot{H} = \frac{2}{2-3\alpha}V(t)$$
,  $H := \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ 

Given V = V(t), one can integrate evolution eq. to obtain H = H(t).

integration constant

For 
$$V = \Lambda = \text{const.}$$
,  $\frac{3(2-3\alpha)}{2}H^2 = \frac{C}{a^3} + \Lambda$ 

earlier work: Mukohyama (2010)

### **Cosmological perturbations**

**Perturbed metric**  $N_i = \partial_i \chi, \ \gamma_{ij} = a^2 e^{2\zeta} \left( \delta_{ij} + h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ik} h_{kj} \right)$ 

**Tensor part** 
$$S_h^{(2)} = \frac{1}{8} \int d^4x \, a^3 \left[ \dot{h}_{ij}^2 - \frac{(\partial_k h_{ij})^2}{a^2} \right]$$

Scalar part 
$$S_{\zeta}^{(2)} = \int d^4x a^3 \left[ \left( \frac{3\alpha - 2}{\alpha} \right) \dot{\zeta}^2 - (-1) \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} \right]$$

 $\frac{3\alpha - 2}{\alpha} > 0 \quad : \text{ no ghost (for proper } \alpha \text{ )}$  $-1 < 0 \quad : \text{ gradient instabilities}$ 

#### Unstable !

Ramazanov et al.(2016) Ijjas et al.(2016)

## **Curing mimetic theory**

#### The origin of gradient instabilities

$$+\frac{(\partial\zeta)^2}{a^2} \subset \sqrt{\gamma}R$$

In usual theory, this wrong sign is flipped when one removes the perturbation of the lapse function by using the constraint equations.

# ⇒ This flip cannot happen in mimetic theory because the lapse function is fixed to unity.

Instead of imposing extra symmetry or field as the case of HL gravity, we introduce new couplings between extrinsic and intrinsic curvature.

⇒ general theory with mimetic constraint without instabilities

## **Curing mimetic theory**

The origin of gradient instabilities

$$+\frac{(\partial\zeta)^2}{a^2} \ \subset \ \sqrt{\gamma}R$$

In usual theory, this wrong sign is flipped when one removes the perturbation of the lapse function by using the constraint equations.

⇒ This flip cannot happen in mimetic theory because the lapse function is fixed to unity.

Instead of imposing extra symmetry or field as the case of HL gravity, we introduce **new couplings** between extrinsic and intrinsic curvature.

⇒ general theory with mimetic constraint without instabilities

### **Building block formulation**

Gleyzes et al. (2013), Tsujikawa (2014)

Essentially building block (  $N=1, \ \phi=t$  )

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{\gamma} L(E, \mathcal{S}, R, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}; t) \qquad \begin{array}{l} E = K/N \\ \mathcal{S} := E_{ij} E^{ij}, \ \mathcal{Y} := R_{ij} E^{ij} \\ \mathcal{Z} := R_{ij} R^{ij} \end{array}$$

Expansion around flat FR sp. ( $E_i^j = H\delta_i^j + \delta E_i^j$ )

$$L = L_0 + \mathcal{F}\delta E + (L_R + HL_{\mathcal{Y}})R + L_{\mathcal{S}}\delta E_{ij}\delta E^i + \frac{\mathcal{A}}{2}\delta E^2 + \left(\mathcal{C} - \frac{L_{\mathcal{Y}}}{2}\right)R\delta E + L_{\mathcal{Z}}R_{ij}R^{ij} + \frac{\mathcal{G}}{2}R^2 + \cdots$$

 $L_0 := L(3H, 3H^2, 0, 0, 0; t) , \ L_E := \frac{\partial L}{\partial E}, \ L_{EE} := \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial E^2}, \ \cdots$  $\mathcal{F}, \ \mathcal{A}, \ \mathcal{G}, \ \mathcal{C} \ \supset \ H, L_E, L_R, \cdots, L_{EE}, L_{ER}, \cdots$ 

#### **Tensor perturbation**

**Perturbed metric** 

$$N_i = \partial_i \chi, \ \gamma_{ij} = a^2 e^{2\zeta} \left( \delta_{ij} + h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ik} h_{kj} \right)$$

#### **Tensor sector**

$$S_{h}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4} \int d^{4}x a^{3} \left[ L_{\mathcal{S}} \dot{h}_{ij}^{2} - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{a^{2}} (\partial_{k} h_{ij})^{2} + \frac{L_{\mathcal{Z}}}{a^{4}} (\partial^{2} h_{ij})^{2} \right]$$

**Stability conditions** 

$$L_{\mathcal{S}} > 0, \ \mathcal{E} := L_R + \frac{1}{2a^3} \frac{d}{dt} (a^3 L_{\mathcal{Y}}) \ge 0, L_{\mathcal{Z}} \le 0$$

### **Scalar perturbation**

#### **Expanding action to second order**

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^{(2)}}{a^3} = 2\left\{\mathcal{E} - \frac{3}{a}\frac{d}{dt}(a\mathcal{C})\right\}\frac{(\partial\zeta)^2}{a^2} + \left(\frac{9}{2}\mathcal{A} + 3L_{\mathcal{S}}\right)\dot{\zeta}^2 + 2(3L_{\mathcal{Z}} + 4\mathcal{G})\left(\frac{\partial^2\zeta}{a^2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}})\left(\frac{\partial^2\chi}{a^2}\right)^2 - (3\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}})\dot{\zeta}\frac{\partial^2\chi}{a^2} + 4\mathcal{C}\frac{\partial^2\chi\partial^2\zeta}{a^4}$$

**constraint equation** ( $\delta \chi$ ):  $\frac{\partial^2 \chi}{a^2} = \left(\frac{3\mathcal{A} + 2L_S}{\mathcal{A} + 2L_S}\right)\dot{\zeta} - \frac{4\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{A} + 2L_S}\frac{\partial^2 \zeta}{a^2}$ (assumption:  $\mathcal{A} + 2L_S \neq 0$ )

#### **Scalar perturbation**

**Quadratic action** 

$$S_{\zeta}^{(2)} = \int d^4x a^3 \left[ q_1 \dot{\zeta}^2 - q_2 \frac{(\partial \zeta)^2}{a^2} - q_3 \frac{(\partial^2 \zeta)^2}{a^4} \right]$$

#### **Stability conditions**

$$q_{1} = 2 \left( \frac{3\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}}}{\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}}} \right) L_{\mathcal{S}} > 0 \qquad \text{One need } \mathcal{C} \neq 0$$

$$\mathcal{C} \supset L_{ER}, L_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

$$q_{2} = -2\mathcal{E} + \frac{8}{a} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{a\mathcal{C}L_{\mathcal{S}}}{\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}}} \right) \ge 0$$

 $\mathcal{E} \ge 0$  from the stability of **tensor part** 

# Healthy example

Simple extension of IDM

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(R + S - E^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \beta(t)RE + \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha}R^2$$

**Background evolution** (mimetic matter dominance)

$$\delta a : 3H^2 + 2\dot{H} = 0$$
  
integration :  $3H^2 = \frac{C}{a^3} \iff Ht = 2/3$  (=MD era)

same equations as the case of IDM

# **Stability conditions**

Simple extension of IDM

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(R + S - E^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \beta(t)RE + \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha}R^2$$

- tensor sector  $L_{\mathcal{S}}=1/2$  ,  $\mathcal{E}=1/2+3\beta H$  ,  $L_{\mathcal{Z}}=0$ 

• scalar sector  $\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}} = \alpha \Rightarrow$  dynamical

$$\mathcal{C} = \beta$$

# **Stability conditions**

Simple extension of IDM

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(R + S - E^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2}E^2 + \beta(t)RE + \frac{\beta^2}{2\alpha}R^2$$

special combination

• tensor sector 
$$L_{\mathcal{S}}=1/2$$
 ,  $\mathcal{E}=1/2+3\beta H$  ,  $L_{\mathcal{Z}}=0$ 

• scalar sector  $\mathcal{A} + 2L_{\mathcal{S}} = \alpha \Rightarrow$  dynamical

$$\Rightarrow q_1 = \frac{3\alpha - 2}{\alpha}, \quad q_2 = \frac{4\dot{\beta}}{\alpha} - (1 + 2q_1\beta H), \quad q_3 = 0$$

# Healthy example

To be more specific

$$\alpha = -\epsilon$$
 ,  $\beta = -\xi\epsilon t$   $0<\epsilon \ll 1$  ,  $\xi = o(1)$ 

tensor sector

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}=1/2$$
 ,  $\mathcal{E}=1/2-2\xi\epsilon>0$  =

Gravitational Cherenkov radiation: (the origin of high energy cosmic ray)

 $\Rightarrow \quad c_h = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}}{L_S}} = 1 - o(\epsilon)$  $1 - c_h < 2 \times 10^{-15}$ Moore et al. (2001)

#### scalar sector

$$q_1 = \frac{2+3\epsilon}{\epsilon} > 0, q_2 = \frac{20}{3}\xi - 1 + 4\xi\epsilon > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_s = \sqrt{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} = o(\epsilon^{1/2}) \ll 1$$

covariantization

 $Q = \mathcal{R} + 2\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\nabla^{\mu}\phi\nabla^{\nu}\phi$  $\frac{\mathcal{L}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2} - \lambda (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi + 1) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left[ \Box \phi - \frac{\beta(\phi)}{\alpha} \mathcal{Q} \right]^2 - \left[ (\Box \phi)^2 - (\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \phi)^2 \right]$ 

# Summary

The cause of gradient instabilities

- no flip of the wrong sign in mimetic theory
- Effective theory of cosmological perturbations in unitary gauge on a general theories with mimetic constraint
  - stability conditions, new coupling  $\ ER,\ R^2$
- A healthy imperfect dark matter
  - stable in every stage of universe and  $\ c_h \sim 1, \ c_s \ll 1$

future works: Ostrogradski ghost, solar system test, caustic singularity, generation,...