

Measuring the Bispectrum in Galaxy Surveys

A comparison of DM codes

Johnathan Hung, with James Fergusson, Marc Manera, Tobias Baldauf and Paul Shellard

DAMTP, University of Cambridge

Outline

- Introduction
 - CMB and LSS
 - Statistics
- LSS Bispectrum
 - MODAL-LSS
 - Fast Dark Matter codes
- Conclusions and Future Work





Introduction



CMB and LSS











Both equally important: Complementarity of scales Cross-correlation





• Power spectrum, or two-point correlation function



- At small scales the bispectrum surpasses the power spectrum in signalto-noise
 - Better at tracing non-linear evolution of structure
 - Break degeneracies in parameter space, e.g. bias
 - Investigate primoridial non-Gaussianity
- We have developed a **fast** code (MODAL-LSS) for reconstructing the **full** bispectrum of cosmological simulations (Schmittfull et al. 2013)



LSS Bispectrum



LSS Bispectrum

Bispectrum tetrapyd cut in half:



Simulation bispectrum:



Different shapes have strongest signals in different parts of the tetrapyd

The morphology gives us qualitative information about the bispectrum shape



MODAL-LSS

- General bispectra computationally intractable
- Expansion of signal-to-noise (SN) weighted bispectrum in separable basis:

$$\sqrt{\frac{k_1k_2k_3}{P(k_1)P(k_2)P(k_3)}}B^{th}(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$
$$\approx \sum_n^{n_{max}} \alpha_n^Q Q_n(k_1/k_{max}, k_2/k_{max}, k_3/k_{max})$$

• The basis functions are symmetrised products over polynomial functions:

$$Q_n(x, y, z) \equiv q_{\{r}(x)q_s(y)q_{t\}}(z)$$

- Reduces bispectrum estimation from 3D problem to 1D problem
- Can use O(1000) modes for highly accurate reconstruction



MODAL-LSS





Bispectrum Theory Validation

• Fractional deviation of 10⁻⁶ (with 1000 modes)





Reconstruction

Residuals



Cosmological simulations

- N-body codes such as GADGET are expensive to run
- Need many runs to reduce statistical errors in estimation of covariance matrices, comparison to theory etc.
- Fast DM codes such as L-PICOLA: more than 100x reduction in CPUhours (1000x for 2LPT)
- Can benchmark these codes with power spectrum and bispectrum

г л

• Diagnostics:

- Shape:
$$S(B_i, B_j) \equiv \frac{\lfloor B_i, B_j \rfloor}{\sqrt{\lfloor B_i, B_j \rfloor \lfloor B_j, B_j \rfloor}}$$

Amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}(B_i, B_j) \equiv rac{\left[B_i, B_j
ight]}{\left[B_i, B_i
ight]}$$



Cosmological simulations

- Benchmarking important for detection of new physics, e.g. $f_{NL}^{eq.} \sim 1$
- MODAL-LSS helps setting down the yardstick







- We ran 6400 Mpc/h and 1280 Mpc/h GADGET3 simulations with 2048³ particles and PMGRID of 2048 for maximum resolution
- We benchmarked L-PICOLA, 2LPT and PM against GADGET3
- We compare their power spectrum and bispectrum





Bispectrum Results





Bispectrum Results





Bispectrum Results

- Theoretical modelling of DM bispectrum is challenging, e.g. "3-shape" model by Lazanu et al.
- MODAL-LSS can allows easy and quick validation and differentiation between these models
- At large scales DM bispectra is predominantly tree level





Conclusions



Conclusions and Future work

- LSS will become very important cosmological observable
 - Bispectrum computationally difficult but will provide a wealth of information for breaking parameter degeneracies, constrain early universe scenarios, and investigating primordial NG or alternatives to GR
 - Computation made efficient by MODAL-LSS code
- Need many N-body simulations for parameter estimation etc.
 - Fast codes can be benchmarked through bispectrum, and power spectrum can't
- Will move towards halo codes with galaxy survey data in mind

