



TOHOKU
UNIVERSITY



Extended vector-tensor theories

Atsushi NARUKO (Tohoku U.)

in collaboration with

Rampei KIMURA (TiTech) & Daisuke YOSHIDA (McGill)

based on : 1608.07066 (published in JCAP)

vector field theory on curved spacetime with degenerate kinetic matrix

Atsushi NARUKO (Tohoku U.)

in collaboration with

Rampei KIMURA (TiTech) & Daisuke YOSHIDA (McGill)

based on : 1608.07066 (published in JCAP)

goal

- ✓ Recently, in the field of cosmology or gravitation,
degenerate theories have been intensively studied.
 - **what** is **degenerate** theory/kinetic matrix ?
 - **how** can **degenerate** theories be constructed ?
[see Filippo & Marco's talks (while they were over)]
- ✓ Without introducing field theory on curved spacetime, we can (mostly) understand the essential part of degenerate theory in terms of analytical mechanics.

motivation ①

- ✓ to understand/explain primordial¤t accelerated expansion of the universe
 - Λ ?? (why so small ? why that value ?)
 - $\Lambda [\phi]$?? \Rightarrow **scalar**-tensor theory
 - e.g. canonical, k-essence, Horndeski, Beyond Horndeski, ...
 - $g^{\mu\nu}$?? (change of gravity law) = **tensor** theory
 - e.g. (dRGT) massive gravity, bi-gravity...
- \Rightarrow decoupling limit of massive gravity (or bi-gravity)
= described by **scalar** & **vector** fields

motivation ②

- ✓ unique prediction from vector-field during inflation

$$\mathcal{L} = (GR) + (\text{scalar}) - \frac{1}{4} f^2(\phi) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Watanabe, Kanno Soda. (2009)

- ★ first (**healthy**) counter example for cosmic no-hair conjecture
- ★ predict **statistically anisotropic** power spectrum :

$$P(\vec{k}) = P(k) \left[1 + g_* (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{v})^2 \right] \quad v : \text{privileged direction}$$

$$g_* = 0.002^{+0.016}_{-0.016} \quad \text{Kim \& Komatsu (2013)}$$

vector field theory
on **curved** spacetime
with degenerate kinetic matrix

degenerate theory
or
degenerate kinetic matrix

\Leftrightarrow **magic** to introduce the kinetic term
for ***non-dynamical*** d.o.f.(s)

non-degenerate system

- ✓ two fields ***without degeneracy***

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_2^2$$

- ✓ conjugate mom. (π_1, π_2)  $(\dot{\phi}_1, \dot{\phi}_2)$ **invertible !**

$$\pi_1 \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}_1} = \dot{\phi}_1 , \quad \pi_2 \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}_2} = \dot{\phi}_2 ,$$

→ $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{K} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi}_1 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\det |\mathcal{K}| \neq 0$

↔ ***no primary constraint*** in the language of Hamiltonian analysis

degenerate system

- ✓ two fields ***with degeneracy***

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_2^2 + \dot{\phi}_1 \dot{\phi}_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi}^2 \quad \Phi \equiv \phi_1 + \phi_2$$

- ✓ conjugate mom. (π_1, π_2)  $(\dot{\phi}_1, \dot{\phi}_2)$ ***non-invertible !!***

$$\pi_1 \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}_1} = \dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2, \quad \pi_2 \equiv \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \dot{\phi}_2} = \dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2 = \underline{\underline{\pi_1}}$$

→ $\begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 \\ \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{K} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\phi}_1 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\det |\mathcal{K}| \neq 0$
 $= 0$

↔ ***a primary constraint*** in the language of Hamiltonian analysis

vector field theory
on **curved** spacetime
with degenerate kinetic matrix

Maxwell & Proca

- ✓ A_μ have 4 components in 4D = (in maximum) **4 d.o.f.s**
- ✓ In Maxwell theory, **A0 is non-dynamical** (no kinetic term)

$$-F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \sim \vec{E}^2 - \vec{B}^2 \sim \dot{A}_i^2 - A_{[i,j]}^2$$

(gauge sym. kills longitudinal mode \rightarrow 2 d.o.f.s)

- ✓ **Proca theory** ($+m^2 A^2$, no gauge sym.) \Leftrightarrow 3 d.o.f.s

In Maxwell & Proca, **A0 is non-dynamical = no kinetic term**

→ **with magic (degeneracy)**, kinetic term for **A0 ??**

Extended vector-tensor

- ✓ action with **two first derivative of A_μ** & 4D general covariance

$$\mathcal{L} = f(Y) R + C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\nabla_\mu A_\nu) (\nabla_\rho A_\sigma)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = & \alpha_1 g^{\mu(\rho} g^{\sigma)\nu} + \alpha_2 g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 (A^\mu A^\nu g^{\rho\sigma} + A^\rho A^\sigma g^{\mu\nu}) & \text{--- sym.} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 (A^\mu A^{(\rho} g^{\sigma)\nu} + A^\nu A^{(\rho} g^{\sigma)\mu}) + \alpha_5 A^\mu A^\nu A^\rho A^\sigma + \alpha_6 g^{\mu[\rho} g^{\sigma]\nu} & \text{--- asym.} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_7 (A^\mu A^{[\rho} g^{\sigma]\nu} - A^\nu A^{[\rho} g^{\sigma]\mu}) + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_8 (A^\mu A^\rho g^{\nu\sigma} - A^\nu A^\sigma g^{\mu\rho}) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_9 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

R : Ricci scalar (4D) f & ai : functions of $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}_\mu \mathbf{A}^\mu$

👉 C is ***not symmetric*** under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ & $\rho \leftrightarrow \sigma$

(cf. $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \phi : A_\mu \rightarrow \nabla_\mu \phi$)

degeneracy condition

- ✓ Action after ADM decomposition (separate time & space) :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}} = \mathcal{A} \dot{A}_*^2 + 2\mathcal{B}^i \dot{A}_* \dot{\hat{A}}_\mu + 2\mathcal{C}^{\mu\nu} \dot{A}_* K_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{D}^{\mu\nu} \dot{\hat{A}}_\mu \dot{\hat{A}}_\nu + 2\mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu\rho} \dot{\hat{A}}_\mu K_{\nu\rho} + \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} K_{\mu\nu} K_{\rho\sigma},$$


kinetic term for A0

$$A_* (= n^\mu A_\mu) \sim A_0$$

👉 generic f & ai \Rightarrow **6 d.o.f.s** = 4 (A_μ) + 2 (GW)

- ✓ **degeneracy** cond. \Leftrightarrow making **A0 non-dynamical** :

$$0 = |\mathcal{M}_{\text{kin}}| = \mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_2 A_*^2 + \mathcal{D}_4 A_*^4$$



example of degenerate theory

$$\mathcal{L} = f(Y) R + C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\nabla_\mu A_\nu) (\nabla_\rho A_\sigma)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = & \alpha_1 g^{\mu(\rho} g^{\sigma)\nu} + \alpha_2 g^{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_3 (A^\mu A^\nu g^{\rho\sigma} + A^\rho A^\sigma g^{\mu\nu}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_4 (A^\mu A^{(\rho} g^{\sigma)\nu} + A^\nu A^{(\rho} g^{\sigma)\mu}) + \alpha_5 A^\mu A^\nu A^\rho A^\sigma + \alpha_6 g^{\mu[\rho} g^{\sigma]\nu} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_7 (A^\mu A^{[\rho} g^{\sigma]\nu} - A^\nu A^{[\rho} g^{\sigma]\mu}) + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_8 (A^\mu A^\rho g^{\nu\sigma} - A^\nu A^\sigma g^{\mu\rho}) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_9 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

✓ an example :

$$f = 1 \quad 2\alpha_6 + Y\alpha_7 = 0 \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{-8(2\alpha_2 + Y\alpha_3) - Y(4 + 4Y\alpha_2 - Y^2\alpha_3)\alpha_8}{2Y^2\alpha_8}$$

$$\alpha_4 = \frac{4(1 + Y\alpha_2)}{Y^2} - \alpha_3 + \frac{8(2\alpha_2 + Y\alpha_3)}{Y^3\alpha_8} + \alpha_8 - \frac{Y^2\alpha_8^2}{8}$$

$$\alpha_5 = \frac{-2 + Y^2\alpha_3}{Y^3} - \frac{4(2\alpha_2 + Y\alpha_3)}{Y^4\alpha_8} - \frac{\alpha_8}{Y} + \frac{Y\alpha_8^2}{8} + \frac{12(2\alpha_2 + Y\alpha_3)}{Y^2(Y^2\alpha_8 - 8)}$$

$g_{\mu\nu}$ & A_μ transformations

- ✓ metric & vector field transformations :

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega(Y)g_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma(Y)A_\mu A_\nu \quad \& \quad A_\mu \rightarrow \Upsilon(Y)A_\mu$$

↳ classification is stable : case A \rightarrow case A
(as far as the tr. is regular) $a_1+a_2=0$

- ✓ Rewriting Maxwell theory [$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu} - 2A_\mu A_\nu$] :

$$R - F_{\mu\nu}^2 \rightarrow \sqrt{1-2Y}R - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2Y}} \left[(\nabla_\mu A^\mu)^2 - (\nabla_\mu A_\nu)^2 \right]$$

- ✓ no U(1) gauge symmetry : $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \nabla_\mu \psi$
- ✓ same # of d.o.f.s \rightarrow new gauge symmetry ??

summary

- ✓ We have constructed degenerate vector-tensor theory that includes two first derivative of vector field.
- ✓ New theory for massive vector field only includes 5 d.o.f.s = 3 massive vector in A_μ & 2 GW in $g_{\mu\nu}$
- ✓ Applying transformations of metric & vector field, we have investigated the stability of classification
- ✓ no instabilities in vector theory (?)

Merci Beaucoup !!

ありがとうございました