# Collisions of superconducting strings with Y-junctions

Collaborators : Brandon Carter, Daniele Steer, Marc Lilley, Takashi Hiramatsu

> Daisuke Yamauchi Kanagawa University





Hubble length when simulation starts.

## Cosmic string network

- ► Evolves according to *scaling*.
- Eventually loses its energy through loop formation due to partner exchange : reconnection.
- Although the reconnection almost always happens, strings with Y-typed junctions occurs in many models!

## Y-junction formation?

When two strings collide, bound states and Y-junctions would be produced.



[Copeland+Kibble+Steer(2006)(2007), Salmi+Achucarro+Copeland+de Putter+Steer(2008)]

## Y-junction formation : condition



[Copeland+Kibble+Steer(2006),(2007)]

## Strategy [Nambu-Goto case]

① Consider effective action

$$S = -\mu \int \mathrm{d}\tau \mathrm{d}\sigma \sqrt{-\gamma_{ab}}$$

Choose gauge conditions

Junction position at  $\sigma = s_i(\tau)$ 

2 Extend 1 to include multiple strings with junctions

$$S = -\sum_{j} \mu_{j} \int d\tau d\sigma \Theta(s_{j}(\tau) - \sigma) \sqrt{-\gamma_{j}}$$
$$+ \sum_{j} \int d\tau \mathfrak{f}_{j} \cdot \left[ x_{i}(s_{j}(\tau), \tau) - X(\tau) \right]$$
4-dim embedding of a junction

#### Questions we are interested in here are:

- What happens to the currents when two current-carrying strings collide?
- Can junctions actually form?

We would like to look for the condition for the junction formation in the analytic way (if possible).

## Differences between Nambu-Goto and current-carrying strings

Conformal and temporal gauges

Worldsheet gauge choices generally made to study NG DO NOT apply to general elastic strings



Equations of motion are generally NOT integrable

[exception : chiral strings]



We need to solve *junction conditions* for string position and current *simultaneously*.

### Step 1 : effective action

 $\succ$  Lagrangian depends on its internal degree of freedom  $\varphi$  :

$$S = \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma_{ab})} \mathcal{L}(w)$$
[Carter(1989a,b)]

 $\checkmark$  *L* is constant  $\rightarrow$  Nambu-Goto strings

✓ *L* is a function of *state parameter w* → superconducting strings  $w \equiv \kappa_0 \gamma^{ab} \varphi_{,a} \varphi_{,b}$ [Witten(1985)]

> [For superconducting strings,  $\varphi$  characterizes a phase of scalar field living on a string.]

### **Energy-momentum tensor**



### Energy-momentum tensor



✓ NOTICE : One can use the residual freedom of Lorentz rotation on the worldsheet!

Preferred rest frame

[Nambu-Goto case: Copeland+Kibble+Steer(2006)(2007)]

#### Step 2 : Effective action with a junction



[Carter+Steer+Lilley+DY+Hiramatsu in progress]

#### Step 3 : Covariant form of junction conditions



[Carter+Steer+Lilley+DY+Hiramatsu in progress]

#### Step 3 : Covariant form of junction conditions



## Step 4 : Apply to string collision

Consider a string collision between 2 incoming and identical strings at angle  $\pm \alpha$  with velocity  $\pm v_7$ :



### Step 4.1 : Nambu-Goto case

Junction conditions

Unknowns

$$\sum_{j} T_{j} \lambda_{j}^{\mu} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{s}_{1} = \frac{2\mu_{3}\sqrt{1 - v_{z}^{2}}\cos\alpha - \mu_{1}}{2\mu_{3} - \mu_{1}\sqrt{1 - v_{z}^{2}}\cos\alpha}$$

All unknowns can be determined by the junction conditions!

The solution makes sense only if s1>0 : the connecting string cannot get shorter.

$$\alpha < \arccos\left(\frac{\mu_1}{2\mu_3\sqrt{1-v^2}}\right)$$

## Step 4.2 : Current-carrying case

Junction conditions

Unknowns

**•** 

$$\sum_{j} \Gamma_{j}^{2} \left( U_{j} \dot{s}_{j}^{2} - T_{j} \right) \lambda_{j}^{\mu} = 0 \qquad \dot{s}_{3} = \dot{s}_{2} = \frac{c_{\mathrm{E}} - v_{+}}{1 - c_{\mathrm{E}} v_{+}} \\ \sum_{j} \Gamma_{j}^{2} \dot{s}_{j} \left( U_{j} - T_{j} \right) = 0 \qquad \dot{s}_{1} = \cdots \\ \sum_{j} \Gamma_{j} \nu_{j} \dot{s}_{j} = 0 \qquad w_{1} = \cdots$$

2 more constraint eqs!



Step 4.2 : Cur  
In the presence of the current, 
$$S_3=S_2$$
  
is determined NOT by the junction  
conditions but by the configuration.  

$$\sum_{j} \Gamma_j^2 (U_j \dot{s}_j^2 - T_j) \lambda_j^{\mu} = 0$$

$$\sum_{j} \Gamma_j \nu_j \dot{s}_j = 0$$

$$\sum_{j} \Gamma_j \nu_j \dot{s}_j = 0$$

$$\sum_{j} I_j \nu_j \dot{s}_j = 0$$

$$\sum_$$

## *Step 4.2* : Cur

Junction conditions

 $\sum \Gamma_j^2 \left( U_j \dot{s}_j^2 - T_j \right) \lambda_j^\mu = 0$ 

In the presence of the current,  $S_3 = S_2$ is determined **NOT** by the junction conditions but by the configuration.

 $\dot{s}_3 = \dot{s}_2 = rac{c_{
m E} - v_+}{1 - c_{
m F} v_+}$ 

The joining string can *NOT* be described by the elastic model (that is, a barotropic EoS).

$$S = \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{-\det(\gamma_{ab})} \mathcal{L}(w)$$

#### The system is **OVERDETERMINED**!

## Summary

- We have extended the analysis of the subsequent formation of Y-junction to the elastic models characterizing currentcarrying strings.
- > There are big differences between NG and elastic strings:
  - ✓ Gauge issues
  - ✓ Presence of internal DoF

In the case of the string collision, the joining string can NOT be described by the elastic model.

#### Future prospects

The treatment of such a collision may generally require the use of a *non-conservative model*.

It is of great interest to compare the results from the *numerical investigation* of a collision of current-carrying strings.

→ Takashi Hiramatsu's talk

