Cosmology with weak lensing surveys: past, present and future

Hendrik Hildebrandt - AlfA Bonn

Argelander-Institut für Astronomie







Cosmic shear

Wittman et al. (2000)

First detections in 2000



Kaiser et al. (2000)

Observations -> theory

 $\xi_{\pm}(\theta) = \langle \gamma_{\rm t} \gamma_{\rm t} \rangle \left(\theta\right) \pm \langle \gamma_{\rm X} \gamma_{\rm X} \rangle \left(\theta\right)$

Observations -> theory

 $\left(\xi_{\pm}(\theta) = \left\langle \gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \right\rangle(\theta) \pm \left\langle \gamma_{\mathrm{X}} \gamma_{\mathrm{X}} \right\rangle(\theta)\right)$

$$\xi_{+}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ell\,\ell}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{J}_{0}(\ell\theta) \,P_{\kappa}(\ell) \;;\;\; \xi_{-}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ell\,\ell}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{J}_{4}(\ell\theta) \,P_{\kappa}(\ell)$$

$$P_{\kappa}(\ell) = \frac{9H_0^4 \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^2}{4c^4} \int_0^{\chi_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{d}\chi \, \frac{g^2(\chi)}{a^2(\chi)} \, P_{\delta}\left(\frac{\ell}{f_K(\chi)}, \chi\right)$$

$$g(\chi) = \int_{\chi}^{\chi_{\rm h}} \mathrm{d}\chi' \ p_{\chi}(\chi') \frac{f_K(\chi' - \chi)}{f_K(\chi')}$$

Observations -> theory

 $\left(\xi_{\pm}(\theta) = \left\langle \gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \gamma_{\mathrm{t}} \right\rangle(\theta) \pm \left\langle \gamma_{\mathrm{X}} \gamma_{\mathrm{X}} \right\rangle(\theta)\right)$

$$\xi_{+}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ell\,\ell}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{J}_{0}(\ell\theta) \,P_{\kappa}(\ell) \;;\;\; \xi_{-}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\ell\,\ell}{2\pi} \,\mathrm{J}_{4}(\ell\theta) \,P_{\kappa}(\ell)$$

$$P_{\kappa}(\ell) = \frac{9H_0^4 \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^2}{4c^4} \int_0^{\chi_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{d}\chi \, \frac{g^2(\chi)}{a^2(\chi)} \, P_{\delta}\left(\frac{\ell}{f_K(\chi)}, \chi\right)$$

$$g(\chi) = \int_{\chi}^{\chi_{\rm h}} \mathrm{d}\chi' \left(p_{\chi}(\chi') \frac{f_K(\chi' - \chi)}{f_K(\chi')} \frac{f_K(\chi' - \chi)}{f_K(\chi')} \right)$$

2pt shear correlation functions



Kilbinger et al. (2013)

flat ΛCDM



- Measure amount of clustered matter
- $S_8 = \sigma_8 (\Omega_m / 0.3)^{0.5}$
- Dark energy with future surveys.

Cosmological constraints

Kilbinger et al. (2013)



Kilbinger (2015)

Recent constraints on S_8



• Shapes measurement systematics:

Galaxies: Intrinsic galaxy shapes to measured image:











Atmosphere and telescope cause a convolution



Detectors measure a pixelated image



Image also contains noise

Stars: Point sources to star images:



Intrinsic star (point source)







a pixelated image



Image also contains noise

Shape measurements

Bridle et al. (2009)





E- and B-modes

Kilbinger et al. (2013)

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:





Hildebrandt et al. (2012)

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:
 - Calibration sample and technique
 - Inhomogeneous multi-band data

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:
 - Calibration sample and technique
 - Inhomogeneous multi-band data



cross-talk

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:
 - Calibration sample and technique
 - Inhomogeneous multi-band data
- Theoretical systematics:

Intrinsic alignments



Baryon feedback

- OWLS hydrodynamical simulations.
- At θ~0.5' the most extreme model yields a 20% decrease in ξ₊.
- Effect captured by amplitude of massconcentration relation.



- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:
 - Calibration sample and technique
 - Inhomogeneous multi-band data
- Theoretical systematics:
 - Intrinsic alignments
 - Baryon feedback
 - Covariance estimate



cross-talk

- Shapes measurement systematics:
 - PSF residuals
 - Multiplicative and additive biases
 - B modes
- Photo-z systematics:
 - Calibration sample and technique
 - Inhomogeneous multi-band data
- Theoretical systematics:
 - Intrinsic alignments
 - Baryon feedback
 - Covariance estimate
- Psychological systematics:
 - Blinding

KIDS vs. HSC vs. DES

	KiDS(+VIKING)	HSC	DES
Mirror [m]	2.6	8.2	4.0
Focus	Cassegrain	Prime	Prime
FOV [deg ²]	1.0	1.8	3.0
Area [deg ²]	1350	1400	5000
Filters	ugri (+ ZY JHKs)	grizy	griz(y)
Seeing [arcsec]	0.68	0.58	0.94
Source density [gal/arcmin ²]	~8	~22	~5-7
Depth	<i>r</i> ~24	<i>i</i> ~24.5	<i>r</i> ~23-24
WL Team	>30	>30	>130



Distant i









Hildebrandt et al. (2017)

Redshift distributions





Data vector



- 130 points from shear-shear correlation functions ξ_+ , ξ_- .
- pick radial ranges to avoid small-scale model systematics and large-scale shear systematics
 Hildebrandt et al. (2017)





- S₈ constraint very similar to CFHTLenS, pre-planck CMB
- Tension with Planck $2.7\sigma_{KiDS}$ in S₈ (2.3 σ discrepancy in full parameter space)





- S₈ constraint very similar to CFHTLenS, pre-planck CMB
- Tension with Planck $2.7\sigma_{KiDS}$ in S₈ (2.3 σ discrepancy in full parameter space)



S₈ results over the years

Kilbinger (2015; updated)



Extended cosmologies

- Massive neutrinos.
- Non-zero curvature.
- Evolving dark energy.
- Modified gravity.
- Running spectral index.

Evolving dark energy



- Resolves tension between KiDS and Planck.
- Only extensions that is moderately favoured by the data.
- $3-\sigma$ deviation from a cosmological constant.
- Resolves tension between Riess et al. (2016) and Planck.



DES year 1

- 1321 deg²
- half depth
- 5 gal./arcmin²
- 4-bin tomography analysis



DES year 1



Troxel et al. (2017)



DES year 1

- Most powerful cosmic shear measurement to date
- S₈ constraint between Planck and KiDS
- Significant differences in the analysis
- Shows the potential of the full Dark Energy Survey



HSC DR1



0.02 0.04 0.08 0.16 0.32 0.64 1.28 2.56 Galactic Extinction E(B-V)

Combined probes

- Cosmic shear <γγ>
- Galaxy-galaxy lensing $<\delta\gamma>$
- Galaxy clustering (photometric or spectroscopic samples) <δδ>
- Break degeneracies
- Increase precision
- Lose some of the benefits of cosmic shear



KiDS + GAMA



KiDS + 2dFLenS/BOSS





Joudaki et al. (2017b)

DES year 1 0.90 0.850.80 3% error on S₈ S_8 0.750.70 1.0 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{DES Y1 Shear} \\ \textbf{DES Y1} & w + \gamma_t \end{array}$ 0.9DES Y1 All $\overset{\infty}{\overset{\infty}{}}$ 0.8 0.7

Abbott et al. (2017)

0.48

0.70

0.40

0.80

 S_8

0.85

0.90

0.75

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \\ \Omega_m \end{array}$

0.24

0.6



S₈ results over the years

Kilbinger (2015; updated)

VIKING@VISTA

- Same footprint as KiDS.
- Already finished (1350deg²).



- ZYJHKs images.
- 5σ depths of 21.2 (Ks) to 23.1 (Z).





Benefits of NIR







Euclid

- ESA/NASA Space Telescope.
- Launch in ~2020.
- optical+NIR imaging of 15k deg².
- Measure w_0 to <2% and w_a to <10%.
- Open huge parameter space.

- Needs to be complemented by ground-based data.
- LSST is crucial in the South. North is patchwork.

- 8.4m optical wide-field imaging telescope
- Huge camera, rapid survey speed, 18,000deg² total
- Deep multi-band photometry (also time domain)
- Crucial complement to Euclid
- Very challenging data rate
- US-led with international partners

Summary & Outlook

- Cosmic shear measures S_8 with CMB-like precision.
- Tension between Planck and some cosmic shear measurements. Systematics? New physics??
- Very exciting times:
 - KiDS+VIKING >900deg² now, 1350deg² by end 2018.
 - DES will (has) triple area and double(d) depth.
 - Waiting for first HSC cosmic shear results.
- Requires excellent calibration data (ESO LP, Keck).
- Prepare with today's surveys for Euclid/LSST/WFIRST.